

READ IT BEFORE YOUR PATIENTS

Cancer survival

Estimating the impact of treatment and imaging modalities on 5year net survival of 11 cancers in 200 countries: a simulationbased analysis

Zachary J Ward, Andrew M Scott, Hedvig Hricak, May Abdel-Wahab, Diana Paez, Miriam Mikhail Lette, H Alberto Vargas, T Peter Kingham, Rifat Atun

Lancet Oncol. 2020 Aug;21(8):1077-1088. doi: 10.1016/S1470-2045(20)30317-X.

PMID: 32758462

BACKGROUND

Accurate survival estimates are important for cancer control planning. Although observed survival estimates are unavailable for many countries, where they are available, wide variations are reported. Understanding the impact of specific treatment and imaging modalities can help decision makers to effectively allocate resources to improve cancer survival in their local context.

METHODS

We developed a microsimulation model of stage-specific cancer survival in 200 countries and territories for 11 cancers (oesophagus, stomach, colon, rectum, anus, liver, pancreas, lung, breast, cervix uteri, and prostate) comprising 60% of global diagnosed cancer cases. The model accounts for country-specific availability of treatment (chemotherapy, surgery, radiotherapy, and targeted therapy) and imaging modalities (ultrasound, x-ray, CT, MRI, PET, single-photon emission CT), as well as quality of care. We calibrated the model to reported survival estimates from CONCORD-3 (which reports global trends in cancer survival in 2000–14). We estimated five-year net survival for diagnosed cancers in each country or territory and estimated potential survival gains from increasing the availability of individual treatment and imaging modalities, and more comprehensive packages of scale-up of these interventions. We report the mean and 95% uncertainty intervals (UIs) for all outcomes, calculated as the 2·5 and 97·5 percentiles of the simulation results.

FINDINGS

The estimated global five-year net survival for all 11 cancers combined is 42·6% (95% uncertainty interval 40·3–44·3), with survival in high-income countries being an average of 12 times (range 4–17) higher than that in low-income countries. Expanding availability of surgery or radiotherapy or improving quality of care would yield the largest survival gains in low-income (2·5–3·4 percentage point increase in survival) and lower-middle-income countries (2·4–6·1 percentage point increase), whereas upper-middle-income and high-income countries are more likely to benefit from improved availability of targeted therapy (0·7 percentage point increase for upper-middle income and 0·4 percentage point increase for high income). Investing in medical imaging will also be necessary to achieve substantial survival gains, with traditional modalities estimated to provide the largest gains in low-income settings, while MRI and PET would yield the largest gains in higher-income countries. Simultaneous expansion of treatment, imaging, and quality of care could improve five-year net survival by more than ten times in low-income countries (3·8% [95% UI 0·5–9·2] to 45·2% [40·2–52·1]) and could more than double five-year net survival in lower-middle-income countries (20·1% [7·2–31·7] to 47·1% [42·8–50·8]).

INTERPRETATION

Scaling up both treatment and imaging availability could yield synergistic survival gains for patients with cancer. Expanding traditional modalities in lower-income settings might be a feasible pathway to improve survival before scaling up more modern technologies.